

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,789	12/09/2003	Marlin H. Mickle	214001-01038-1 4950	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	7590 03/21/2007 MANS CHERIN & MELL	EXAMINER		
600 GRANT ST		PREVIL, DANIEL		
44TH FLOOR PITTSBURGH,	PA 15219	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Ź		2612		
	<u> </u>			
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	NTHS	03/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

					SK			
A		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/731,7	89	MICKLE ET AL.				
Office	Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Daniel Pr		2612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED WHICHEVER IS - Extensions of time m after SIX (6) MONTH - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOLLONGER, FROM THE M ay be available under the provisions S from the mailing date of this comm is specified above, the maximum state the set or extended period for reply the Office later than three months a djustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF TI of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ex junication. atutory period will apply and w will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATIO rent, however, may a reply be til vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this o ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsiv	e to communication(s) file	ed on 11/1/06.						
2a)☐ This action	• •	2b)⊠ This action is i	non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Clair	ns							
4a) Of the a 5)	-41 is/are pending in the alabove claim(s) is/are is/are allowed41 is/are rejected is/are objected to are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from co						
Application Papers				·				
9)☐ The specific	cation is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
•	g(s) filed on is/are:							
	ay not request that any object				55 4 4044 N			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.	.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
	son's Patent Drawing Review (P ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or ate		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:		O-152)			

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to communication filed on November 1, 2006.

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-41 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 1, 15, 24, 33, after "comprising" in all occurrences, insert -----: Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 2-14, 16-23, 25-32, 34-41 are objected for the same reason, since they depend from objected claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 15-18, 20-21, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ruby (US 5,883,575).

Regarding claim 15, Ruby discloses determining if an article of interest is present (col. 1, lines 10-14) comprising: article of interest having at least one antenna being resonant at one frequency of a plurality of available frequencies (col. 3, lines 10-23); a non-linear element operatively associated with antenna (col. 3, lines 51-60); an RF frequency generator for directing RF energy of a

Application/Control Number: 10/731,789 Page 3

Art Unit: 2612

particular frequency to antenna (col. 3, lines 10-23); a detector for receiving reflected RF energy from antenna (col. 3, lines 18-23; col. 4, lines 1-7); a processor for determining from a difference between said reflected frequency and said directed particular frequency whether the antenna is a specific antenna (col. 3, lines 53-65; col. 4, lines 5-39).

Regarding claim 16, Ruby discloses a non-linear element is a rectifying diode (col. 3, lines 58-59).

Regarding claims 17-18, Ruby discloses antenna assembly providing a half wave rectified sine wave from interrogating RF energy (fig. 2; fig. 6; fig. 11b; col. 3, lines 42-45).

Regarding claim 20, Ruby discloses RF frequency generator being structured to provide at least two said interrogating RF frequencies (fig. 7, fig. 9; fig. 12b; col. 3, lines 51-65).

Regarding claim 21, Ruby discloses a spectrum analyzer for analyzing said different frequencies (col. 3, lines 51-65).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2612

5. Claims 1-14, 19, 22-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ruby et al. (US 5,883,575) in view of Cook (US 3,911,434).

Regarding claim 1, Ruby discloses a method of identifying an article of interest (col. 1, lines 10-14) comprising: providing the step of interrogating said one RF antenna with RF energy of a first frequency (col. 3, lines 14-18), converting said interrogating RF energy into reflected energy RF energy of a different frequency from said first frequency (col. 3, lines 14-23); sensing said reflected RF energy and on the basis of a difference between said first frequency and said different frequency determining if a specific said antenna is present (fig. 6-fig. 9; col. 4, lines 1-39).

Ruby discloses all the limitations above but fails to explicitly disclose one of a plurality of RF antennas each having a non-linear element and being resonant at one of plurality of different frequencies positioned on an article of interest.

However, Cook discloses one of a plurality of RF antennas (antennas 14, 16 in fig. 1) each having a non-linear element and being resonant at one of plurality of different frequencies positioned on an article of interest. (fig. 2; col. 3, lines 35-65).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Cook's plurality of antennas in Ruby's system. Doing so would modify Ruby's system with Cook's plurality of antennas in order to accurately identify rapidly and quickly an article of interest, thereby improving the efficiency of the system.

Art Unit: 2612

Regarding claim 2, Ruby discloses a non-linear element is a rectifying diode (diodes in col. 3, line 59).

Regarding claim 3, Ruby discloses specific antenna is present and different frequency being about double first frequency (fig. 7; fig. 9; fig. 12b; col. 5, lines 20-25).

Regarding claims 4-5, 7, Ruby discloses antenna assembly providing a half wave rectified sine wave from interrogating RF energy fig. 2; fig. 6; fig. 11b; col. 3, lines 42-44).

Regarding claims 6, 19, Ruby and Cook disclose all the limitations in claim 1 and Cook further discloses half wave rectified sine wave has a fundamental Fourier series which is about double the frequency of said sine wave (col. 3, lines 1-19; col. 4, lines 23-39). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Cook's half wave sine wave in Ruby's system. Doing so would modify Ruby's system with Cook's half wave sine wave in order to accurately identify rapidly and quickly an article of interest, thereby improving the efficiency of the system.

Regarding claim 8, Ruby discloses the step of employing a spectrum analyzer in analyzing different frequency (col. 3, lines 51-65).

Regarding claims 9-11, Ruby discloses the step of employing a binary analysis in determining if an article of interest is present, employing a spectrum analyzer structured to monitor each interrogating frequency in determining if an article of interest is present (col. 4, lines 30-45).

Regarding claims 12, 22, Ruby and Cook disclose all the limitations in claim 15 and Cook further discloses a second non-linear element cooperating with said non-linear element to provide a variable readout which is a function of a specific physical condition (col. 3, lines 66-68; col. 4, lines 1-17). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Cook's non-linear element to provide a variable readout in Ruby's system. Doing so would modify Ruby's system with Cook's non-linear element to provide a variable readout in order to accurately identify rapidly and quickly an article of interest, thereby improving the efficiency of the system.

Regarding claim 13, Ruby discloses physical condition selected from radiation (col. 3, lines 18-22).

Regarding claim 14, Ruby discloses the step of employing as non-linear elements a variable non-linear element (col. 3, lines 58-59).

Regarding claim 23, Ruby and Cook disclose all the limitations in claim 15 and Cook further discloses physical condition being a condition selected from pressure (col. 3, lines 42-47). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Cook's physical condition in Ruby's system. Doing so would modify Ruby's system with Cook's physical condition in order to accurately identify rapidly and quickly an article of interest, thereby improving the efficiency of the system.

Regarding claim 24, Ruby discloses interrogating said one RF antenna with RF energy of a first frequency (col. 3, lines 14-15), converting said

Art Unit: 2612

interrogating RF energy into reflected energy RF energy of a different frequency from said first frequency (col. 3, lines 10-23).

Ruby discloses the limitation above but fail to explicitly disclose a method of monitoring an ambient physical property comprising: providing an antenna having a non-linear element whose response depends on the physical property being monitored; said different frequency being dependent on the physical property being monitored; and sensing said reflected RF energy and on the basis of a difference between said first frequency and said different frequency determining the state of said physical property.

However, Cook discloses a method of monitoring an ambient physical property (fig. 1-fig. 2) comprising: providing an antenna having a non-linear element whose response depends on the physical property being monitored (col. 3, lines 37-48); said different frequency being dependent on the physical property being monitored (col. 3, lines 48-65); and sensing said reflected RF energy and on the basis of a difference between said first frequency and said different frequency determining the state of said physical property (col. 2, lines 40-47; col. 3, lines 1-19).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Cook's physical condition in Ruby's system. Doing so would modify Ruby's system with Cook's physical condition in order to accurately identify rapidly and quickly an article of interest, thereby improving the efficiency of the system.

Regarding claim 25, Ruby discloses a non-linear element is a rectifying diode (col. 3, lines 59-60).

Art Unit: 2612

Regarding claim 26, Ruby discloses different frequency being about double first frequency (fig. 7; fig. 9; fig. 12b).

Regarding claims 27-28, Ruby discloses antenna assembly providing a half wave rectified sine wave from interrogating RF energy (fig. 2; fig. 6; col. 3, lines 42-45).

Regarding claim 29, Ruby and Cook disclose all the limitations in claim 24 and Cook further discloses half wave rectified sine wave has a fundamental Fourier series which is about double the frequency of said sine wave (col. 3, lines 1-19; col. 4, lines 23-39). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Cook's half wave sine wave in Ruby's system. Doing so would modify Ruby's system with Cook's half wave sine wave in order to accurately identify rapidly and quickly an article of interest, thereby improving the efficiency of the system.

Regarding claim 30, Ruby discloses the step of employing a spectrum analyzer (col. 3, lines 51-65).

Regarding claim 31, Ruby and Cook disclose all the limitations in claim 24 and Cook further discloses a second non-linear element cooperating with said non-linear element to provide a variable readout which is a function of a specific physical condition (col. 3, lines 66-68; col. 4, lines 1-17). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Cook's non-linear element to provide a variable readout in Ruby's system. Doing so would modify Ruby's system with Cook's non-linear element to provide a variable

readout in order to accurately identify rapidly and quickly an article of interest, thereby improving the efficiency of the system.

Regarding claim 32, Ruby and Cook disclose all the limitations in claim 24 and Cook further discloses physical condition being a condition selected from pressure (col. 3, lines 42-47). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Cook's physical condition in Ruby's system. Doing so would modify Ruby's system with Cook's physical condition in order to accurately identify rapidly and quickly an article of interest, thereby improving the efficiency of the system.

Regarding claim 33, Ruby discloses antenna being resonant at one frequency of a plurality of available frequencies (col. 3, lines 10-23); an RF frequency generator for directing RF energy at a particular frequency to said antenna (col. 3, lines 13-15).

Ruby discloses all the limitations above but fails to explicitly disclose an apparatus for monitoring an ambient physical property comprising: a non-linear element operatively associated with said antenna whose response depends on the physical property being monitored, a detector for receiving reflected RF energy from said antenna, said reflected RF energy having a different frequency that is dependent on the physical property being monitored; and a processor for determining from a difference between said particular frequency and said different frequency the state of the physical property being monitored.

Art Unit: 2612

However, Cook discloses an apparatus for monitoring an ambient physical property (fig. 1-fig. 3) comprising: a non-linear element operatively associated with said antenna whose response depends on the physical property being monitored (col. 3, lines 37-48); a detector for receiving reflected RF energy from said antenna, said reflected RF energy having a different frequency that is dependent on the physical property being monitored (col. 3, lines 37-65); and a processor for determining from a difference between said particular frequency and said different frequency the state of the physical property being monitored (col. 2, lines 34-45; col. 3, lines 1-19).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Cook's physical condition in Ruby's system. Doing so would modify Ruby's system with Cook's physical condition in order to accurately identify rapidly and quickly an article of interest, thereby improving the efficiency of the system.

Regarding claim 34, Ruby discloses a non-linear element is a rectifying diode (col. 3, lines 58-60).

Regarding claims 35-36, Ruby discloses antenna assembly providing a half wave rectified sine wave from interrogating RF energy (fig. 2; fig. 6; col. 3, lines 42-45).

Regarding claim 37, Ruby and Cook disclose all the limitations in claim 33 and Cook further discloses half wave rectified sine wave has a fundamental Fourier series which is about double the frequency of said sine wave (col. 3, lines 1-19; col. 4,

Application/Control Number: 10/731,789 Page 11

Art Unit: 2612

lines 23-39). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Cook's half wave sine wave in Ruby's system. Doing so would modify Ruby's system with Cook's half wave sine wave in order to accurately identify rapidly and quickly an article of interest, thereby improving the efficiency of the system.

Regarding claim 38, Ruby discloses RF frequency generator being structured to provide at least two said interrogating RF frequencies (fig. 7; fig. 9; col. 3, lines 60-65).

Regarding claim 39, Ruby discloses a spectrum analyzer for analyzing said different frequencies (col. 3, lines 51-65).

Regarding claims 40-41, Ruby and Cook disclose all the limitations in claim 33 and Cook further discloses a second non-linear element cooperating with said non-linear element to provide a variable readout which is a function of a specific physical condition (col. 3, lines 66-68; col. 4, lines 1-17). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Cook's non-linear element to provide a variable readout in Ruby's system. Doing so would modify Ruby's system with Cook's non-linear element to provide a variable readout in order to accurately identify rapidly and quickly an article of interest, thereby improving the efficiency of the system.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-41 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Williams (US 4,471,344) discloses dual frequency anti-theft system.

Herzl (US 4,391,149) discloses a Doppler-type ultrasonic flowmeter.

Petrinovic (US 6,927,692) discloses an RF inventory system.

Newham (US 5,471,198) discloses a device for monitoring the presence of a person using a reflective energy beam.

Giles (US 4,274,089) discloses detection system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Previl whose telephone number is (571) 272-2971. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel WU can be reached on (571) 272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571 273-8300.

Art Unit: 2612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel Previl Examiner

Art Unit 2636 2612

DP

March 3, 2007.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER